Application 1/53/18/00012

Proposal: Materials reprocessing facility and ancillary development.

Location: Springway Business Park, Springway Lane, Westonzoyland, Bridgwater, TA7

0JS

General

We are long time residents of Westonzoyland, and have lived on the main route through the village for 48 years. This is our home and we have lived with and accepted the 'steady' increase in the traffic volume as it passes through, as this is our home. The applicant is based in W-S-M and that is their business area, they do not serve the area around the village or indeed in the district or even provide for local employment. Therefore all their traffic has to come all the way from W-S-M via the M5, and will use Parkway in Bridgwater. This is one of the most densely populated areas of Bridgwater, past 4 schools, a run of local shops, 2x 20mph areas, a park, all before it gets here to the village. Whos looking after the interests of all those people who will be impacted by this overnight increase in HGV troffic?

Traffic

The applications traffic Statement paints a 'rosy' picture of a minimal effect. It just refers to Parkway as a convenient dual carriageway link. The photographs used to illustrate its route show very little if any traffic or people.

If you have ever been there when schools coming out it is far from that 'rosy' picture. Likewise the centre of the village here, where previously I had witnessed a queue of the applicants lorries attempting to negotiate the double bends whilst the school bus was unloading and some elderly residents were trying to cross the road to the shops.

The statement tries to minimize the extent of extra HGV's on the route by referring to them as 'two- Way movements of 11.4 average, which really means 24 HGV's passing your windows every day. This average is increased by 5x at peak times which amounts to approx. up to 60 HGV's passing through, each working day, 48 weeks a year, forever. Many of the residents that have made objections have experience of what 60 lorries in a day can mean when the applicant set up site and commenced operations without planning or license a couple of years ago.

It talks of a lorry every 6 minutes at peak times, the reality is that they never come at intervals but come in waves. Empty container and skip lorries are incredibly noisy.

With the present day issues of climate change we are all being held responsible for minimizing our 'carbon footprints' and reducing diesel emissions in residential areas, but this application will significantly increase both. We should be seeking ways to decrease these not to keep unnecessarily adding to them.

Yet, nowhere in all the documentation covering this application is this referred to or considered. The 'competent Officers' reports, by raising no objections just negates all of the residents concerns for those that adjoin the site and those that are affected by the traffic.

Summary

There is an admirable need for materials recycling with all its 'eco' credentials but not at this cost and in this place. This use should be in one of the established Business Parks that follow the M5 corridor through Somerset all of which have direct links to the M5 and motorway network. You would not achieve planning permission for a new Business park without that road network link in place. A road that takes you through the centre of large residential areas is not a road link. This would not happen anywhere else.

If you approve this, what next for the old airfield site? SDC have already approved the establishment of a road chippings storage site and the village has to now endure these chippings HGV's through the village all summer. The floodgates will be opened.

<u>Please note</u>: Members of the public who had made representations to the application have been denied the right to put their case to the committee as <u>none</u> of the significant number of objectors had been notified of the meeting. This is understood to be a basic right to those affected but has not happened in this case.

Mr and Mrs Tucker Westonzoyland.